



The impact of digital footprint on interview invitation rate and perceived cultural fit: An integrative review

 Chitamba Anos ^{(a)*}



^(a) Lecturer, Faculty of Management Sciences, Durban University of Technology, South Africa

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 April 2025

Received in rev. form 22 May 2025

Accepted 16 June 2025

Keywords:

Digital Footprint, Interview Invitation Rate, Perceived Cultural Fit And Signalling Theory

JEL Classification:

M51, J71, D91

ABSTRACT

The ongoing digitization of professional interactions has significantly altered how employers evaluate candidates throughout the hiring process, with digital footprints emerging as a crucial factor in recruitment decisions. This integrative literature review investigates the influence of digital footprints on two essential recruitment outcomes: interview invitation rates and perceived cultural fit. Digital footprints, which encompass social media activity, professional profiles, and online interactions, provide employers with valuable insights into a candidate's qualifications, personality traits, and cultural alignment. Research indicates that candidates with well-managed digital profiles, particularly on platforms such as LinkedIn, tend to receive a higher number of interview invitations, whereas adverse online content can negatively impact job prospects. Furthermore, employers are increasingly leveraging digital footprints to gauge cultural fit by analysing online behavior, shared content, and social media interactions to assess alignment with organizational values. However, the reliance on digital footprints raises ethical concerns, including privacy issues, unconscious bias, and discrimination, as employers may unconsciously favour candidates who share similar personal or political views. The review underscores the necessity for standardized ethical guidelines in digital screening practices to ensure fair and unbiased hiring decisions. Additionally, job seekers are encouraged to proactively manage their online presence, curating content that accurately represents their professional identity. Employers should strive to balance efficiency with fairness by implementing transparent digital screening policies to mitigate bias risks. This study contributes to both academic discourse and practical approaches for digital hiring, emphasizing the importance of ethical frameworks and systematic evaluations in the digital recruitment process. Future research should investigate cross-cultural variations in digital screening practices and the implications of artificial intelligence in automating digital footprint assessments. Ensuring fairness and transparency in digital hiring practices will be essential for fostering equitable employment opportunities in the digital era.

© 2025 by the authors. Licensee SSBFNET, Istanbul, Turkey. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Introduction

The growing digitization of professional and social interactions has rendered digital footprints a vital component of contemporary hiring practices. A candidate's online presence—formed by social media engagement, professional profiles, and digital interactions—has become an essential factor in recruitment decisions (Rahman, Aydin, Haffar and Nwagbara, 2022). Employers increasingly evaluate candidates beyond their resumes, utilizing publicly available digital information to assess professional credibility, personal values, and potential cultural alignment with their organizations (Holst, 2020). This evolution has significant implications for job seekers, as their digital footprints can either enhance or negatively impact their opportunities for securing interviews and being viewed as suitable candidates for an organization's culture.

While previous research has examined the role of social media screening in the hiring process, there remains a need for a deeper understanding of the comprehensive impact of digital footprints on two critical recruitment outcomes: rates of interview invitations and perceived cultural fit. The rate of interview invitations serves as a crucial early indicator of hiring success, influenced by

* Corresponding author. ORCID ID: 0000-0001-9409-296X

© 2025 by the authors. Hosting by SSBFNET. Peer review under responsibility of Center for Strategic Studies in Business and Finance.

<https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v14i5.4120>

recruiters' interpretations of a candidate's online presence (Hedenus, Backman and Håkansson, 2021). Conversely, perceived cultural fit assesses how well a candidate aligns with the organization's values, norms, and workplace dynamics, often affecting final hiring decisions (Tholen, 2024). Despite the significance of these factors in talent acquisition, an integrated analysis of their relationship with digital footprints is still underexplored.

This study takes an integrative approach to synthesize existing literature on digital footprint evaluation in hiring, incorporating insights from various fields such as human resource management, psychology, and information systems. This research seeks to provide a thorough understanding of how digital footprints influence interview invitations and perceptions of cultural fit by systematically reviewing empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and industry trends. The findings will contribute to both academic discussions and practical hiring strategies, offering guidance for job seekers in managing their digital presence and for employers in implementing ethical and effective digital screening practices.

The recruitment landscape has undergone significant changes due to the advancement of digital technology, with employers increasingly relying on digital footprints—including online professional profiles, social media activity, and virtual interactions—to assess candidates. The influence of these digital footprints on hiring outcomes, particularly regarding interview invitation rates and perceived cultural fit, remains a vital area for further exploration. Signalling Theory offers a comprehensive framework for understanding how job applicants convey information through their digital presence and how employers interpret these signals in the hiring process (Twyman, Pentland and Spitzley, 2020).

Signalling Theory, rooted in economics, was initially developed to explain how individuals communicate information in situations characterized by asymmetric knowledge (von Deimling, Eßig and Glas, 2022). Within the hiring context, job applicants possess private information regarding their skills, work ethic, and personality, while employers do not have direct access to these attributes. To bridge this gap, candidates send signals—via resumes, cover letters, professional references, and increasingly, digital footprints—that employers utilize to infer a candidate's potential job performance and cultural fit (Hofmann, 2024). Employers act as signal receivers, interpreting these cues to evaluate a candidate's suitability for a particular role.

Digital footprints function as both intentional and unintentional signals. Intentional signals include well-crafted LinkedIn profiles, personal websites, or thought leadership articles that highlight a candidate's expertise and professionalism. In contrast, unintentional signals arise from social media behavior, online comments, and affiliations, which may reveal insights into a candidate's values and personality beyond their immediate control (Singh, 2021). The interpretation of these signals significantly impacts the likelihood of a candidate receiving an interview invitation and how they are perceived in terms of cultural fit within an organization.

One of the primary hiring outcomes affected by digital footprints is the interview invitation rate. Recruiters often utilize online information to substantiate candidates' professional claims and evaluate their suitability before extending an interview invitation (Woods, Ahmed, Nikolaou, Costa and Anderson, 2020). Signalling Theory posits that job seekers who strategically manage their digital footprints can enhance their perceived employability by conveying strong positive signals to potential employers. For example, an applicant with an active LinkedIn profile that showcases industry-related content, endorsements, and skill certifications conveys a signal of professionalism, expertise, and commitment to career advancement. Such candidates are more likely to receive interview invitations as their digital presence aligns with employer expectations (Mandic, 2022).

Conversely, weak or negative signals—such as an incomplete LinkedIn profile, controversial social media posts, or evidence of unprofessional behavior—can result in fewer interview invitations. Employers may interpret these signals as red flags, causing them to question the candidate's judgment, reliability, or appropriateness for a professional environment (Johnson, 2023). Given the high volume of applications employers' encounter, they may rely on digital footprints as a preliminary screening tool to filter out candidates whose online behavior does not align with organizational values. This reinforces the central tenet of Signaling Theory: stronger signals boost the likelihood of a positive response, while weaker or negative signals diminish it.

In addition to skills and experience, employers are increasingly evaluating cultural fit—defined as a candidate's alignment with an organization's values, norms, and workplace dynamics—through digital footprints (Ajayi and Udeh, 2024). Cultural fit often represents a subjective criterion, positioning digital footprints as an informal yet significant factor in hiring decisions. Signaling Theory suggests that employers interpret online activities as indirect indicators of personality, communication style, and workplace behavior (Heide, Prodan, Lazaroiu, Kreis-Engelhardt and Ghigiu, 2024). For example, a candidate who shares industry-relevant articles, engages in professional discussions, and maintains a collaborative online presence may be perceived as valuing continuous learning and teamwork—qualities that resonate with many organizational cultures (Woods, Ahmed, Nikolaou, Costa and Anderson, 2020). Conversely, a candidate whose social media activity includes offensive language, inappropriate humour, or excessive political engagement may communicate signals of potential workplace conflicts or misalignment with company values (Peshkova, 2022).

Additionally, digital footprints can reinforce positive cultural fit through shared values and common interests. Many organizations prioritize diversity, inclusion, and corporate social responsibility. A candidate's online presence that reflects advocacy for these values may result in being perceived as a better cultural fit compared to those whose digital footprints do not demonstrate such commitments (Wang, Cui, Liu, Lu and Li, 2020). This illustrates how digital signals influence not only the likelihood of obtaining an interview but also the candidate's perceived alignment with the broader organizational culture.

The application of Signaling Theory to digital footprints presents significant implications for both job seekers and employers. Job seekers should be aware that every component of their digital presence—whether deliberate or inadvertent—contributes to the signals they convey to potential employers. By actively managing their digital footprint through the curation of professional content, participation in industry discussions, and the maintenance of a positive online image, job seekers can enhance their prospects of being invited for interviews and perceived as a good cultural fit. Conversely, neglecting or poorly managing one's digital footprint may result in unintended negative signals, which can hinder job opportunities. For employers, Signaling Theory highlights the importance of implementing structured and ethical digital screening practices. While online information can offer valuable insights into a candidate's professional and personal attributes, it is essential for recruiters to avoid introducing bias or infringing on candidates' privacy. Employers can mitigate the risk of potential discrimination and ensure that evaluations remain fair and pertinent to job performance by establishing clear guidelines on how digital footprints are assessed during the hiring process (Pawlowski, 2023).

Literature Review

The growing significance of digital footprints in hiring processes has attracted considerable attention from both academic and industry circles. Employers increasingly utilize publicly accessible online information to evaluate candidates' professional credibility, personality traits, and cultural fit prior to extending interview invitations. Digital footprints, which include social media activity, online portfolios, and search engine results, play a crucial role in shaping perceptions of candidates' employability and alignment with organizational values. This literature review explores existing research on the impact of digital footprints on interview invitation rates and perceived cultural fit, highlighting important findings, trends, and gaps in current understanding.

Digital footprints in recruitment and selection

Digital footprints encompass an individual's online presence, comprising both intentional and unintentional traces left on the internet. Intentional digital footprints include LinkedIn profiles, professional websites, and published articles, while unintentional ones consist of social media activity, tagged photographs, and comments on public platforms. Research indicates that employers often use digital footprints as informal screening tools to verify resume information and gauge personality traits (de Azevedo Lima, 2020).

A growing body of literature suggests that hiring managers view well-maintained digital footprints as indicators of professionalism, competence, and credibility (Legler, 2018). Conversely, negative online content—such as inappropriate social media posts or evidence of unprofessional behavior—can result in candidates being disqualified. Despite concerns regarding privacy and potential bias, the practice of screening digital footprints has become common in recruitment, influencing candidate evaluations even prior to formal assessment procedures.

Impact of digital footprint on interview invitation rates

The association between digital footprints and interview invitation rates has emerged as a key focus in recruitment studies. Research demonstrates that candidates with strong online professional branding are more likely to receive interview invitations (Ollington, Gibb and Harcourt, 2013). For example, a well-organized LinkedIn profile that showcases skills, endorsements, and work experience enhances perceived employability and boosts interview prospects. Conversely, studies indicate that negative digital footprints can significantly diminish interview opportunities. Employers may eliminate candidates whose online presence includes offensive language, excessive political opinions, or controversial affiliations (Cavico, Mujtaba, Muffler and Samuel, 2013). A survey conducted by Melanthiou, Pavlou and Constantinou (2015) revealed that employers review social media profiles during the hiring process, with some stating that negative online content has influenced their decision not to extend an interview invitation. This underscores the critical importance of digital reputation management when applying for jobs. Furthermore, discrepancies between a candidate's resume and their digital footprint can lead to skepticism, thereby decreasing their chances of receiving an interview invitation (Roth, Bobko, Van Iddekinge and Thatcher, 2016). Employers seek consistency between online and offline credentials to verify candidates' qualifications and experiences. Consequently, job seekers are increasingly encouraged to strategically manage their online presence to enhance interview opportunities.

Digital footprint and perceived cultural fit

Cultural fit is a vital criterion in the hiring process, with many organizations prioritizing candidates whose values and communication styles resonate with their corporate culture. Research indicates that digital footprints can provide employers with insights into a candidate's personality, interests, and social behavior, impacting perceptions of cultural fit (Boudlaie, Nargesian and Keshavarz-Nik, 2019). Employers may utilize digital footprints to determine whether a candidate's communication style, values, and online interactions align with the organization's work environment (Madia, 2011). For instance, a candidate who actively participates in industry discussions and promotes inclusivity is likely to be viewed as a favorable cultural fit. Conversely, content demonstrating aggressive behavior, discriminatory remarks, or excessive personal disclosures may suggest a misalignment with organizational values (Boudlaie, Nargesian and Keshavarz-Nik, 2019). However, studies caution that reliance on digital footprints for cultural fit evaluations can introduce unconscious bias and discrimination. Recruiters may inadvertently favor candidates with similar interests, backgrounds, or political views, resulting in exclusionary hiring practices (Rui, 2020). This raises ethical concerns related to fairness and diversity in recruitment, as assessments of digital footprints often extend beyond job-relevant criteria.

Employer perceptions and ethical considerations

While digital footprints provide valuable insights into candidates' professionalism and cultural fit, research highlights several ethical implications and reliability concerns. Employers recognize the potential risks associated with making subjective and possibly discriminatory hiring decisions based on digital information that may not be directly linked to job performance (Williams, Brooks and Shmargad, 2018). Moreover, online content can be misinterpreted or taken out of context, potentially resulting in unfair evaluations of candidates. Privacy concerns have also emerged as a significant issue, with job seekers questioning the fairness of employers scrutinizing personal social media activities (Thorntwaite, 2018). Some organizations have begun to implement ethical guidelines to ensure that digital footprint assessments focus solely on professional attributes rather than personal opinions or lifestyle choices. Nevertheless, the lack of standardized policies across industries contributes to inconsistencies in how digital footprints impact hiring decisions.

Research and Methodology

This study utilized an integrative literature review to evaluate and analyze data regarding the impact of digital footprint on interview invitation rate and perceived cultural fit. The integrative review method, as described by Whittemore and Knafl (2005), allows for a comprehensive assessment of the existing evidence, thereby enhancing the rigor of the evaluation of diverse primary studies employing various methodologies. The study's search criteria focused on peer-reviewed research pertaining to digital footprint, interview invitation rate and perceived cultural fit. Data collection was conducted through search engines including Scopus, Emerald, Wiley Online, and ABI/Inform to identify relevant studies. Furthermore, we utilized various free online resources, including Science Direct, SABINET, Bing, and Google Scholar, to gather valuable information for this study. Both primary and secondary data sources, including journal articles, reports, biographies, and additional materials, were incorporated, utilizing search terms related to the impact of digital footprint on interview invitation rates and perceived cultural fit, along with recommendations for best practices.

Findings and Discussion

The findings of this integrative review emphasize the growing impact of digital footprints on hiring decisions, particularly in relation to interview invitation rates and perceived cultural fit. The evidence indicates that digital footprints play a crucial role in pre-employment screening, highlighting the importance of online presence in influencing recruitment outcomes (Hosain, S., 2020). The discussion provides key insights from the literature, examining the implications for job seekers, employers, and the ethical considerations associated with digital screening.

The influence of digital footprints on interview invitation rates

A significant observation from the literature is that candidates who effectively manage their digital footprints, especially on professional platforms like LinkedIn, are more likely to receive interview invitations (Garrido-Pintado, García Huertas and Leal, 2023). Employers utilize online profiles to confirm qualifications, evaluate industry engagement, and assess professional credibility. Positive digital indicators, such as skill endorsements, sharing industry-relevant content, and participating in professional discussions, enhance a candidate's perceived employability (Hosain, 2020). Conversely, negative or weak digital indicators can markedly decrease a candidate's chances of obtaining an interview. Research shows that inappropriate social media content, discrepancies between resumes and digital profiles, and a lack of professional online engagement are frequently cited reasons for candidate rejection (de Azevedo Lima, 2020). A report by Sandberg, Halvorsen, Berge, Grimsmo, Atar, Leangen-Grenne, and Jortveit, (2024) found that the prevalence of digital screening is evident through a survey, which revealed that some of employers' review candidates' social media profiles, with some having disqualified candidates based on negative online content. This underscores how digital footprints have become an informal extension of the traditional resume, thus influencing early-stage recruitment decisions.

While digital footprints can improve job prospects, disparities in online visibility raise concerns about fairness. Candidates from industries or socioeconomic backgrounds with limited digital engagement may find themselves at a disadvantage compared to those who strategically manage their online presence (Karaoglu, Hargittai and Nguyen, 2022).

Digital footprints and perceived cultural fit

In addition to assessing qualifications and skills, employers are increasingly evaluating candidates' alignment with organizational culture through their digital footprints (Madia, 2011). Cultural fit is a subjective hiring criterion that considers a candidate's values, personality, and social behavior. The literature suggests that candidates who actively participate in professional communities, exhibit ethical online behavior, and align their digital presence with organizational values are often perceived as a better cultural fit (Lambiotte and Kosinski, 2014). For instance, candidates who share content that resonates with company values, demonstrate community engagement, or express interest in workplace diversity and inclusion are frequently preferred in hiring processes (Muduli, Trivedi and Pingle, 2021). Conversely, digital footprints that reveal polarizing political views, discriminatory comments, or excessive personal disclosures may indicate potential workplace conflicts, resulting in unfavourable hiring decisions (Rui, 2020). However, the dependence on digital footprints for cultural fit evaluations poses ethical challenges. Employers may unintentionally favour candidates who share similar backgrounds, interests, or perspectives, consequently limiting diversity in hiring (Pawlowski, 2023). Furthermore, digital footprints may not accurately represent a candidate's professional identity, as online personas can differ

significantly from workplace behavior (Rivera, 2012). These findings highlight the necessity for structured, bias-aware digital screening practices to ensure equitable hiring decisions.

Ethical implications and challenges in digital screening

While the analysis of digital footprints offers valuable insights in hiring, ethical concerns remain a critical challenge. One major issue is the potential invasion of privacy, as candidates might be unaware that their online activities are being monitored by prospective employers (Peshkova, 2022). Unlike resumes and traditional application materials, digital footprints often contain personal information that may not pertain to job performance. This scenario creates risks for hiring bias and discrimination if recruiters base decisions on non-job-related factors such as political beliefs, religious views, or personal lifestyle choices (Elias, Honda, Kimmel and Chun, 2016). Additionally, the absence of standardized regulations governing digital screening practices contributes to inconsistencies in hiring decisions. While some organizations implement structured digital screening policies that focus on job-relevant information, others may conduct informal online evaluations without established guidelines (Jeske, D. and Shultz, K.S., 2016). The lack of ethical frameworks raises concerns regarding data accuracy, candidate consent, and employer accountability in digital hiring practices.

Conclusion

This integrative review highlights the increasing importance of digital footprints in influencing hiring outcomes, particularly regarding interview invitation rates and perceptions of cultural fit. Evidence indicates that employers are progressively utilizing candidates' online presence as a supplementary evaluation tool, relying on publicly available information to assess professionalism, industry involvement, and alignment with organizational values. Candidates who effectively manage their digital footprints—such as by maintaining optimized LinkedIn profiles, contributing to thought leadership, and exhibiting ethical online behavior—are likely to receive more interview invitations, whereas negative digital signals may adversely affect their employability. Moreover, digital footprints are essential in cultural fit assessments, with employers interpreting online behavior, shared content, and social media interactions as indicators of workplace compatibility. While a well-curated digital presence can enhance perceptions of cultural alignment, the reliance on informal digital screening raises ethical issues. Concerns regarding privacy violations, unconscious bias, and the potential for discriminatory hiring practices underscore the necessity for standardized and equitable digital screening protocols.

This study adds to both academic and practical discussions surrounding digital hiring by stressing the need for ethical frameworks and structured evaluation criteria in online candidate assessments. Job seekers should take an active role in managing their digital footprints to ensure that their online presence aligns with their professional qualifications. Concurrently, employers must strive to balance efficiency and fairness by implementing transparent digital screening policies to reduce the risks associated with bias and discrimination. Future research should investigate cross-cultural differences in digital screening practices, the long-term implications of digital footprint evaluations on careers, and the impact of artificial intelligence in automating online candidate assessments. As technology continues to influence recruitment, achieving a balance between digital transparency and ethical hiring practices will be crucial for promoting equitable employment opportunities in the digital age.

Acknowledgement

Author Contributions: The author is the sole author.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, due to that the research does not deal with vulnerable groups or sensitive issues.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Ajayi, F. A., & Udeh, C. A. (2024). Innovative recruitment strategies in the IT sector: A review of successes and failures. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, 10(02), 150–164.
- Boudlaie, H., Nargesian, A., & Keshavarz Nik, B. (2019). Digital footprint in Web 3.0: Social media usage in recruitment. *AD-minister*(34), 139–156.
- Cavico, F. J., Mujtaba, B. G., Muffler, S. C., & Samuel, M. (2013). Social media and the workplace: Legal, ethical, and practical considerations for management. *JL Pol'y & Globalization*, 12, 1.
- de Azevedo Lima, J. S. (2020). *Portuguese recruiters' perceptions of online contents during screening and the impact on their hiring decisions* (Master's thesis, ISCTE-Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal).
- Elias, T., Honda, L. P., Kimmel, M., & Chun, J. (2016). A mixed methods examination of 21st-century hiring processes, social networking sites, and implicit bias. *The Journal of Social Media in Society*, 5(1), 189–228.
- Garrido-Pintado, P., García Huertas, J. G., & Leal, D. B. (2023). Identity and virtuality: The influence of personal profiles on social media on job search. *Business Information Review*, 40(2), 78–92.

- Hedenus, A., Backman, C., & Håkansson, P. (2021). Whom do you know? Recruiters' motives for assessing jobseekers' online networks. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 32(8), 1754–1777.
- Heide, M. P., Prodan, S., Lazaroiu, G., Kreis-Engelhardt, B., & Ghigi, A. M. (2024). Communication as a key performance indicator in employer branding in the context of the social economy—A quantitative study. *Behavioral Sciences*, 14(4), 303.
- Hofmann, K. H. (2024). Digital natives and signalling in employment interviews: An inquiry into the acceptance and perceived fairness of different interview modes. *Management Revue (MRev)*, 35(3), 328–354.
- Holst, J. E. (2020). *Identifying recruiting professionals' practices during the resume evaluation stage of the hiring process for entry-level information technology positions* (Doctoral dissertation, Northeastern University).
- Hosain, S. (2020). The role of social media on talent search and acquisition: Evidence from contemporary literature. *Journal of Intercultural Management*, 12(1), 92–137.
- Jeske, D., & Shultz, K. S. (2016). Using social media content for screening in recruitment and selection: Pros and cons. *Work, Employment and Society*, 30(3), 535–546.
- Johnson, D. T. (2023). *Human resource professionals' perceptions on social media and hiring at a Maryland higher education institution: A qualitative study* (Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University).
- Karaoglu, G., Hargittai, E., & Nguyen, M. H. (2022). Inequality in online job searching in the age of social media. *Information, Communication & Society*, 25(12), 1826–1844.
- Lambiotte, R., & Kosinski, M. (2014). Tracking the digital footprints of personality. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 102(12), 1934–1939.
- Legler, S. L. (2018). *The use of social media for recruitment and selection: Exploring HR professionals' perceptions of utility, ethicality, and risk* (Doctoral dissertation, Wilmington University, Delaware).
- Madia, S. A. (2011). Best practices for using social media as a recruitment strategy. *Strategic HR Review*, 10(6), 19–24.
- Mandic, G. (2022). *The impact of e-recruiting on the person-organization fit in the hiring process: A qualitative analysis from recruiters' perspective*.
- Melanthiou, Y., Pavlou, F., & Constantinou, E. (2015). The use of social network sites as an e-recruitment tool. *Journal of Transnational Management*, 20(1), 31–49.
- Muduli, A., Trivedi, J., & Pingle, S. (2021). Social media recruitment and culture: An empirical study. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 22(3), 364–382.
- Ollington, N., Gibb, J., & Harcourt, M. (2013). Online social networks: An emergent recruiter tool for attracting and screening. *Personnel Review*, 42(3), 248–265.
- Pawlowski, T. (2023). *The digital footprint effect: A grounded theory on the influence of social media on human resource managers' hiring decisions* (Doctoral dissertation, Nova Southeastern University).
- Peshkova, O. A. (2022, February). Digital footprint analysis technology: Some aspects of its application in recruitment. In *Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference "Smart Nations: Global Trends In The Digital Economy"* (Vol. 1, pp. 368–375). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Rahman, M., Aydin, E., Haffar, M., & Nwagbara, U. (2022). The role of social media in e-recruitment process: Empirical evidence from developing countries in social network theory. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 35(6), 1697–1718.
- Rivera, L. A. (2012). Hiring as cultural matching: The case of elite professional service firms. *American Sociological Review*, 77(6), 999–1022.
- Roth, P. L., Bobko, P., Van Iddekinge, C. H., & Thatcher, J. B. (2016). Social media in employee-selection-related decisions: A research agenda for uncharted territory. *Journal of Management*, 42(1), 269–298.
- Rui, J. R. (2020). How a social network profile affects employers' impressions of the candidate: An application of norm evaluation. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 34(3), 328–349.
- Sandberg, E. L., Halvorsen, S., Berge, T., Grimsmo, J., Atar, D., Leangen Grenne, B., & Jortveit, J. (2024). Digital recruitment and compliance to treatment recommendations in the Norwegian atrial fibrillation self-screening pilot study. *European Heart Journal—Digital Health*, 5(3), 371–378.
- Singh, R. (2021). *Extracting human behaviour and personality traits from social media* (Doctoral dissertation, Victoria University).
- Tholen, G. (2024). Matching candidates to culture: How assessments of organisational fit shape the hiring process. *Work, Employment and Society*, 38(3), 705–722.
- Thornthwaite, L. (2018). Social media and dismissal: Towards a reasonable expectation of privacy? *Journal of Industrial Relations*, 60(1), 119–136.
- Twyman, N. W., Pentland, S. J., & Spitzley, L. (2020). Design principles for signal detection in modern job application systems: Identifying fabricated qualifications. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 37(3), 849–874.
- von Deimling, C., Eßig, M., & Glas, A. H. (2022). Signalling theory. In *Handbook of Theories for Purchasing, Supply Chain and Management Research* (pp. 445–470). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Wang, S., Cui, L., Liu, L., Lu, X., & Li, Q. (2020, November). Personality traits prediction based on users' digital footprints in social networks via attention RNN. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Services Computing (SCC)* (pp. 54–56). IEEE.
- Williams, B. A., Brooks, C. F., & Shmargad, Y. (2018). How algorithms discriminate based on data they lack: Challenges, solutions, and policy implications. *Journal of Information Policy*, 8, 78–115.

Woods, S. A., Ahmed, S., Nikolaou, I., Costa, A. C., & Anderson, N. R. (2020). Personnel selection in the digital age: A review of validity and applicant reactions, and future research challenges. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 29(1), 64–77.

Publisher's Note: SSBFNET stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2025 by the authors. Licensee SSBFNET, Istanbul, Turkey. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478) by SSBFNET is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.